

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,811	04/06/2001	Theodore Van Fossen McConnell	8240M&	6863
27752	7590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			KRAMER, JAMES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CINCINNAT	ГІ, ОН 45224	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/827,811	MCCONNELL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James A. Kramer	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·	
Disposition of Claims		
	P 0	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-40 and 83-113</u> is/are pending in the	• •	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40 and 83-113</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •
	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	` '/'	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)		•
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
P)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 12, 15-18, 20-23, 32-37, 83-87 and 91-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graves et al.

Graves et al. teaches an inventory management method and apparatus. Graves et al. teaches a processing unit with a plurality of communication means (column 5; lines 1-4) and sensors to measure quantities of goods in storage (column 5; lines 28-37). The processing unit receives usage data and is able to determine the rate at which a good is used. This determined rate is stored in a database to produce a historical record of consumption (probability pattern of velocity) (column 6; lines 36-45).

Graves et al. further teaches the use of forecasting algorithms, such as the quantity of a good used over a predetermined period of time so that the amount of the good used during a future predetermined time period can be estimated (column 6; lines 50-55).

Graves et al. also teaches the inventory management system compares the projected usage level to actual usage levels. If a significant disparity arises the system determines if a loss product level will occur or the inverse (occurring too slowly or occurring too quickly) (column 11; lines 1-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graves et al. in view of Official Notice.

Graves et al. as described in detail above does not specifically mention the inventory management method and apparatus being applied to a retail establishment. Graves et al. does teach the use of the system for goods such as headlights and that using the system any consumable supply could be monitored based on historical rate at which the supplies are consumed. Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known that retail point of sales sell consumable supplies. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inventory management method and system of Graves et al. to include a retail point of sale in order to monitory the inventory of consumable supplies at those locations.

Claims 19, 24-30, 38-40, 88-90 and 95-97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graves et al. in view of Official Notice.

Graves et al. as discussed above does not specifically mention a forecasting algorithm used by the inventory management method and apparatus. Examiner takes Official Notice that the Poisson statistical model is old and well known for determining the probability to an occurrence (i.e. forecasting algorithm) and further lambda and alpha are known variables within this model.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the forecasting algorithm of Graves et al. to include the Poisson statistical model in order to utilize a known and respected model for determining the usage of a good in the future.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Essentially Applicant asserts that a historical rate of consumption is not equivalent to a probability pattern of velocity. Examiner disagrees and asserts that a historical rate of consumption is a specific type of probability pattern and as such it anticipates Applicant's invention.

Examiner points to MPEP 2111 that states reading a claim in light of the specification, to thereby interpret limitations explicitly recited in the claim, is a quite different thing from reading limitations of the specification into a claim, to thereby narrow the scope of the claim by implicitly adding disclosed limitations which have no express basis in the claim.

When given the broadest reasonable interpretation, a historical rate of consumption anticipates a probability pattern.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/827,811 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (703) 305-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James A. Kramer Examiner Art Unit 3627

jak

Aichard Chilcot
Supplement Examiner
//echnology Center 2015

3600